

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office , Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/450,551		11/30/1999	RAGHURAMA BHYRAVABHOTLA	8470	7808
26884	7590	02/12/2004		EXAM	INER
PAUL W. MARTIN LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-5E				RUDY, ANDREW J	
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD. DAYTON, OH 45479-0001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3627	

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·							
	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/450,551	BHYRAVAHOTLA					
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
· (*	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627					
; The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h th correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT itute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u>? December 2003</u> .						
,	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.						
• •							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	,						
•							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		440()()					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriconity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
	33 A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S						
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Amendment After Final and Request for Reconsideration has been reviewed.

The Response is convincing regarding the Final Rejection dated October 17, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 1, the phrase "label, comprising" is not clear as what is being referenced.

Claim 1, lines 6, 7, the phrase "of the memory, the interface and the display and report" is not clear. As is, the diagnostic test may be performed on each of the above-enumerated items.

Claim 6, line 1, the phrase "establishment comprising" is not clear as what is being referenced. Is it the system or establishment?

Claim 6, lines 8, 9, the phrase "the memory, the interface and the display and report" is not clear. As is, the diagnostic test may be performed on each of the above-enumerated items.

Claim 11, line 2, the phrase "system, comprising" is not clear as what is being referenced.

Application/Control Number: 09/450,551

Art Unit: 3627

)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-14, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Bhyravabhotla, US 6,411,196, in view of Edge et al., US 3,879,712.

Bhyravabhotla discloses an electronic price label comprising a memory 56, an interface, a

display, e.g. 54, 70, and self-test diagnostics. Bhyravabhotla does not disclose a processor.

Brick discloses a series of for each shelf label via an audible or visual 362 (e.g., see col. 15)

means. The programming device 350 is independent of a central processor 312.

Edge discloses a self-diagnostic test interface. It is further noted that self-diagnostic tests

of computer equipment are well-known and common knowledge in the computer art.

To periodically perform a self-diagnostic tests on each of the shelf labels independent of

a status request issued by the central processor for Bhyravabhotla would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Edge. Doing such would implement well-known self-

diagnostic testing means. The motivation for such would be a further self-diagnostic test on an

alternative equipment device.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Archen Joseph Ridy